



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

3C  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,286	10/31/2003	Edmund J. Ring	58780US002	1619
32692	7590	01/11/2005	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/698,286	RING	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Leonid M Fastovsky	3742	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5-12,14-18 and 22-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,13,19-21 and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040206</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, 3, 4, 13, 19-21 and 28 in the reply filed on 10/27/04 is acknowledged.
2. Claims 2, 5-12, 14-18 and 22-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/27/04.

***Specification***

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains an extraneous word "disclosed" in line 1. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamp et al (4,899,025).

Kamp teaches an inductive heating device comprising a power supply 1 including a work head 4, an inductive coupling assembly 5,7 configured to removably couple the power supply 1 to the work head, the inductive coupling assembly including a primary coil 24, a secondary coil 13 and an inductive core 12, the power supply 1 being

electrically coupled to the primary coil 13, the second coil being electrically coupled to the work head 4, the primary and secondary coils configured to be magnetically coupled through the inductor core 12 when the inductive coupling assembly 5, 7 is coupled. Also, the inductor core 12 is attached within and extends from the primary coil 24 and attaches within and extends from the secondary coil 13.

6. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamp et al. Kamp teaches an inductive heating device comprising a power supply 1 including a primary coil 24, a cable assembly 11 having a first end coupled to the second coil 13 and a second end coupled to a work head 4, an inductor core 12, and means 5, 7 for removably coupling the cable assembly 11 to the power supply such that the inductor core 12 couples between the primary coil 24 and the secondary coil 13.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1, 3-4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamp in view of Tamura (2001/0039137).

Kamp teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a sleeve and a method of inductive heating. Tamura discloses a core 21 constituting a wire-coil for applying high voltage and a protective shield wiring –sleeve 23 with protective cladding


on the outside of the shielding wire 23 is provided. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kamp's invention to include a protective sleeve as taught by Tamura as reasonably pertinent to the particular problem of facilitating the assembly of the inductive heating device in accordance with MPEP 2141.01(a).

As for claim 13, it would have been obvious to use the teaching of Kamp in view of Tamura to use a method of heating a target substrate because the discloses all elements of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
ROBIN O. EVANS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
1/10/05

  
Leonid M Fastovsky  
Examiner  
Art Unit 3742

1/10/05